

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/081,752	02/21/2002	Mario Vismara	163-381	9402		
7:	590 02/05/2003					
James V. Costigan, Esq. HEDMAN & COSTIGAN, P.C. Suite 2003			EXAMINER			
			COLETTA, LORI L			
1185 Avenue o New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3612			
		DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)		
4	\$	10/081,752		VISMARA ET AL.		( )
∢,	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Lori L. Coletta		3612		1
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	· ·			ress	<u> </u>
Period fo	or Reply			-		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. I.136(a). In no event, however byly within the statutory minim d will apply and will expire SI tte, cause the application to b	er, may a reply be timely num of thirty (30) days w X (6) MONTHS from the	y filed  fill be considered timely.  e mailing date of this con  (35 U.S.C. & 133)	nmunicatio	ın.
1)[🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	<u> December 2002</u> .	-			
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 2	his action is non-fina	al.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for forr	nal matters, pros	secution as to the	merits	is
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1	935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application	า				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr		ion			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awn nom considerat	ion.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirem	ent			
	on Papers	o. c.coor. roquirori.	J. 11.			
9) 🗌 ७	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on 21 February 2002 is/a	re: a)∏ accepted or b	)⊠ objected to by	the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held i	n abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)⊠ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on 27 L	<u> Pecember 2002</u> is: a)	⊠ approved b)[	disapproved by	the Exa	aminer
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	eply to this Office actio	n.			
12)[] T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(	d) or (f).		
a)[	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documer</li> </ol>	its have been receive	ed.			
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	its have been receive	ed in Application	No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).	in this National St	age	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			to a provisional a	nnlicatio	on)
	☐ The translation of the foreign language pr		•	-	ppiioaili	J11).
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •				
Attachment(	s)					
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No		TO-413) Paper No(s). ent Application (PTO-		
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		ction Summary		Part of P	aper No.	7

Application/Control Number: 10/081,752

Art Unit: 3612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the **bumper** (claim 3) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Objections

2. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claim 3, "curved bumpers" (lines 3-4) needs to be changed to --curved bumper--.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 3, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 3, "a centrally-placed absorber system" (lines 5 and 6) is not clear. What is positively recited by a centrally-placed absorber system?

· Application/Control Number: 10/081,752

Art Unit: 3612

Regarding claims 6 and 7, "cross member (17A) is made of metal or plastic and is flat or shaped" (lines 2-3) is not clear. Claim 1 states "a substantially linear front cross member (17A)" (line 2) which means the front cross member is straight (flat). How can the front cross member be "shaped" when claim 1 states "a substantially linear front cross member (17A)"?

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 6. Claims 1, 3, 6 and 7, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Braun 6,290,272

Regarding claim 1, Braun '272 discloses a protective structure for vehicles, characterized in that it comprises a substantially linear front cross member (2) comprising a unitary fillable internal chamber, and featuring at least one underlying laterally-placed first absorber (1), connected externally after the cross member (2) on the bumper side (3) of the vehicle in Figure 1. It is inherent that the front cross member (2) is connected to lateral side members.

Regarding claim 3, Braun '272 discloses the protective structure for vehicles, characterized in that said cross member (2) has a substantially straight geometrical structure which is fitted with curved bumper (3) conforming in shape to said underlying laterally-placed first absorbing element (1) interposed between said bumper and said cross member in Figure 1.

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Braun '272 discloses the protective structure for vehicles characterized in the said cross member (2) is flat in Figure 1. It is inherent that the cross member

· Application/Control Number: 10/081,752

Art Unit: 3612

(2) is made of metal or plastic. Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself.

The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production (produced from an extruded linear profile or moulding and welding).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Braun 6,290,272 in view of Shiotani et al. 3,842,944.

Regarding claims 2 and 5, Braun '272 discloses the protective structure for vehicles.

However, Braun '272 does not show inside said cross member, at least one second absorber element is present, increasing the collapsing force of the cross member and therefore the energy absorbed and, simultaneously, limiting the overall dimensions of the entire structure (claim 2); and said first underlying absorber element and said second absorber element comprise absorbing materials selected from the group consisting of extruded thermoplastic honeycomb, honeycomb made of aluminum, polyurethane foam, foamed polypropylene, rigid polyurethane, semi-rigid polyurethane and extruded polyurethane (claim 5).

Shiotani et al. '944 teach a hollow shock absorber structure filled with a foamed aluminum.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,752

Art Unit: 3612

Regarding claims 2 and 5, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the cross member of the protective structure of Braun '272 filled with a foamed aluminum, as taught by Shiotani et al. '944, in order to increase the energy absorbing efficiency.

Regarding claim 4, Braun '272, as modified, discloses the protective structure for vehicles characterized in that said underlying laterally-placed first absorber element and said second absorber element are made of materials deformation pressures of 5-30 N/mm<sup>2</sup> which correspond to a crushing of 50%.

## Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Page 6

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lori L. Coletta whose telephone number is (703) 306-4614. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3597 for regular communications and (703) 305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1134.

llc llc

January 29, 2003

1 5/2/02

D. GLENN DAYOAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600